Space Syntax as an Operative Tool in "Pedestrianization" Process
Ashraf A. Elmokadem 1, Usama A. Nassar 2, Hosam S. El-samaty 3 and Mostafa M. Elzeni 4

ABSTRACT

Lately, a lot of studies focus on people behaviour and their relation with the built environment. This relation is studied in environment psychology science, which contains different theories as place theory. Place theory inquiries people presence or absence, and some attributes in such space to define how it raise to be a place for people. Hence, people are usually pedestrian in different times and situations. Moreover, the benefits of designing for pedestrian, and the calls of various urban theories to design for pedestrian and, so designing places is a critical and important issue. Accordingly, Space Syntax will be applied in this research as an efficient tool to be used for developing urban fabric of cities, and to design urban places for pedestrian.

1. INTRODUCTION

Space syntax, as a language to describe the urban space configuration, provides a powerful tool for researchers to characterizing the functional patterns of urban space.[1] Besides, Space syntax not only suggests an effective conceptual framework for investigating the man and built environment relation, but also a method for describing spatial configuration as collections of local elements and as an interrelated whole.[2] Moreover, Space Syntax is a theoretical, methodological and technical framework proposed by Hillier and Hanson. It studies the arrangement and interrelationship of spaces in a spatial configuration, by assuming that there is a social logic underlying the space organization. Why designing for the pedestrian? A number of studies in London, New York, and Sydney show that almost of traffic problems Couse by vehicle traffic. Although, the number of car drivers is far little than the pedestrian. [3]

According, different calls asked for pedestrianization as a term which solves traffic problem. Hence, Egyptian cities suffer from different traffic problems, so showing and analyzing other cities cases to investigate how they use space syntax in order two solve their traffic problem. The research deal with Trafalgar square as a successful cases in London.

2. "PEDESTRIANIZATION" DEFINITION

At the 1800s and starts of 1900 arched shopping area was built at European Countrie it was a unique design for the pedestrian.4 Pedestrianization as a traffic policy is widely adopted in many cities and there has been a long history on the in Europe and UK. Moreover, the earliest Pedestrianization can be traced back to the 1960s. At 1975, the definition of pedestrianization was first suggested by Monheim. Who stated that pedestrianization can be a street only for pedestrian’s usage.[5]

Pedestrianization means to remove and restrict vehicle from a specific area and to turn the area for pedestrians only.[6] Accordingly, Pedestrianization can be a necessary implementation in circumstances where a bothersome pedestrian-vehicle conflict is observed due to the centralization of a street; or where a revival of economic grain in a street is needed. Any successful Pedestrianization project will thus provide an attractive Street for pedestrians because of its vitality and quality.[7]
3. PEDESTRIANISATION PLANNING STRATEGIES

3.1. Fulltime pedestrian streets
Accessibility of vehicles into street is fully forbidden, usually, services are in the back of street, and only emergency vehicles are allowed to enter.

3.2. Part time pedestrian streets
Accessibility is allowed only in specific periods, parking on-street spaces not allowed, only services vehicles can access.

3.3. Traffic Calming Streets
Traffic calming streets serve reducing car dominance and its speed, so, there are no restrictions to vehicle access, but footpaths are widened and parking spaces are reduced. Various traffic calming measures are used to slow down the speed of vehicles as speed tables, narrower traffic lanes, and different road textures and colours to remind driver.[8]

4. STRATEGIES AND STAGES OF PEDESTRIANIZATION PROCESS
Pedestrianization method can be categorized into three strategies as follows:

4.1. Full time pedestrian streets
Accessibility of vehicles into street is fully forbidden, usually, services are in the back of street, and only emergency vehicles are allowed to enter.

4.2. Part-time Pedestrian Streets
Accessibility is allowed only in specific periods, parking on-street spaces not allowed, only services vehicles can access.

4.3. Traffic Calming Streets
Traffic calming streets serve reducing car dominance and its speed, so, there are no restrictions to vehicle access, but footpaths are widened and parking spaces are reduced. Various traffic calming measures are used to slow down the speed of vehicles as speed tables, narrower traffic lanes, and different road textures and colours to remind driver.[9]

Through these strategies, AKIT, M. stated that, Planning for pedestrians can be undertaken experimentally and in stages as follows [10]:

- Problem definition.
- Determination of the objectives.
- Collection and analysis of data.
- Identification of constrains and opportunities.
- Development of alternative solutions.
- Final design, and Implementation.

It is obvious that, these stages have a group of integrated social and spatial characteristics; every stage is related to pedestrian behaviour and their social activities. Furthermore, it's also related to "place attachment" which can be defined as the development of the affective bond or link between people or individuals and specific places. [11] CIOLFI, L. mentioned that, constructing of the place means the dynamic relation between physical surrounding and human factors. [12]

It worth mentioning that, urban design is a concept familiar to everyone because it is closely bound up with people living. Otherwise, we don't completely understand the space we are living in. Hence, the need for a comprehensive analytical tool appears in order to fit in with the complexity of Pedestrianisation process.

Urban street network, which is generally regarded as a complexity system in urban space, has gained more attention as the rise of "Space Syntax". It has various applications at urban design field that used Space Syntax to solve a lot of problems which has a relation with people behaviour and movement.

5. SPACE SYNTAX
Space syntax, as a language to describe the urban space configuration, provides a powerful tool for researchers to characterize the functional patterns of urban space.[13]

Space syntax not only suggests an effective conceptual framework for investigating the man and built environment relation, but also a method for describing spatial configuration as collections of local elements and as an interrelated whole.[14]

Space Syntax deals with spaces and their syntactic relations not as physical spatial configuration, but as a system includes social aspect with the physical one. Besides, it is interested in studying and analyzing the relation between spatial configuration and people behavior at architecture or urban design level.

6. METHOD OF INVESTIGATION
Former stages of Pedestrianisation process can be summarized in the following phases:

- Identifying and confirmation of problems. (Ph1)
- Proposing alternatives of solution. (Ph2)
- Best alternative evaluation & development. (Ph3)
- Detailed design and implementation. (Ph4)

Efficiency of the selected analytical tool "Space Syntax" will be evaluated in accordance with Pedestrianisation process through these four phases.

This will be operated through analyzing "Trafalgar Square, London" renovation process. Effectiveness of
Space Syntax will be observed at each phase of this project.

7. TRAFALGAR SQUARE”, BACKGROUND.

Trafalgar square Renovation is a part of developing London central urban spaces by Westminster. The project established at 1996. The square is the first finished part at 2003, which aims to improve the quality of public realm, and keep its historic importance. Generally, the square has to be safe pleasant and solve traffic buzz. Nowadays, the square is animated and equipped with visitors and Londoners. [15]

7.1. Project Problem

The square was surrounded by a high-density traffic. As a result, a low people access to the square, pedestrians network avoid pedestrian to access. Generally, the usage of the square decreased because of the traffic. [16] Observation indicates that Londoners avoided center of the square, Visitors field to make a tour from the square to parliament square. [17]

7.2. Project objective

The project was assigned to team consist of Space Syntax laboratory and Sir Norman foster team. The main objective was redesigning the square to be accessible and intelligible for all pedestrians with their different types, visitors, Londoners, and impaired people. Besides, well connection to national gallery and another surrounding. The key to the success of the scheme was to create a fairer balance than existing between pedestrians and vehicles. [18] Project aimed to convert this noise, polluted and crowded traffic area to be as pleasant, enjoyable and accessible area. Providing safe environment for cyclists and public transport, and improving facilities. [19]

7.3. Project phases

Renovation of Trafalgar square to achieve the final project depends on other four phases. The first one is manual observation. The second phase is analysis (1) which depends on Space Syntax analysis of existing spatial layout to explain people behavior through the square. The third phase depends on the urban planner to convert the urban problem into a solution. The fourth phase evaluates the new spatial layout using Space Syntax and takes new design decisions, as shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project phases</th>
<th>Manual observation</th>
<th>Analysis (1)</th>
<th>Proposals</th>
<th>Analysis (2)</th>
<th>Final project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

A- Manual observation …. (Ph1)

The observation has been done at Space Syntax laboratory by trained observers, who used 300 gates at different hours during different days of the week. The north part of the square is virtually unused only for moving or static activity, as shown in Figure 2. Observation results showed that:

**Visitors** concentrated at the south-east of the square and left other locations at the space empty. Besides, the visitor used an informal road to cross the south side of the square to go through King Charles traffic island.
Londoners didn’t access into the square but they preferred to move around its edges, except a small number of pedestrian.

**B- Analysis (1) …. (Ph1)**
This phase depends on space syntax by analyzing the existing spatial configuration to know how the spaces work as shown in Figure 3. The analysis indicates low accessible values within the square and high accessible values outside. The red colored axial lines represent high value, green color represents low value. Isovist analysis has been done from two different points. The point from square center generates constricted field, unlike point from Charles Island, which was more spread and unconstructed, as shown in Figure 74 and Figure 5. As a result, people attract to this island. Besides, its high integration value, the analysis shows the low accessible value of the north side.[20]

![Figure 3: access analysis of existing situation](Source: Hillier, 1998)

![Figure 5: vision field from Charles Island](Source: Hillier, 1998)

**C- Proposals …. (Ph2)**
Norman foster team develop their proposal according to the previous analysis. They start to develop some strategies to renovate the square by attract people to access inside. The main strategy is to make changes in the spatial configuration to attract pedestrians instead of push pedestrians out the square. Space syntax analysis show that traffic isn’t the problem, so removing the traffic isn’t the solution. Accordingly, good new design at the square has to provide a simple route from middle island to the secure, well connection to surrounding visually and spatially. Besides, Provide facilities to eat, drink and rest.[21]

![Figure 6: physical structure push people out.](Source: Stonor, 2013)

![Figure 7: developing strategy](Source: Stonor, 2013)

**D- Analysis (2) …. (Ph3)**
Analysing spatial configuration after redesigning shows that accessible value increased inside and outside the square. New decisions have been taken.

**E- Final project (after implementation) …. (Ph4)**

- **Streets and vehicle movement**
  - **North side:** close the north side street as Pedestrian Street.
- Eastside: converted to two lanes in two-way for traffic.
- Westside: provided two lanes one-way south for traffic.
- Southside: the square extends down and redesign the Chares Island and create a new two island at its two directions to decrease walking.

Pedestrian’s movement
- Into the Square provide crosswalk at the square four corners.
- Pedestrian access to Charles Island is provided by crossings from the major island at the main street Whitehall to the new two island to access the square on pedestrian’s desire lines also, the design takes a wheelchair into the count.

Cyclist and public transport
- Provide a bus lane and cycle only lane at east and west of the square Services.
- Provide cafes and toilets under the north terrace near new staircase

Planting
- New trees was added to continue the space visual entertainment.

### 7.4. Investigation results

Space syntax was used clearly at two phases in different ways. The first phase integrated the first two stages (Manual observation – Analysis 1) together; it was used to clarify square problems and to propose its solutions. Additionally, it was used in the third phase (analysis 2) which has been handled in designing and evaluation of the final proposal.

**A- Phase 1**
This key point introduces how space syntax works at the first phase (Identifying and confirmation of problems). At first, space syntax indicates the problems. Then, the design team explained these problems according to previous analysis. Afterwards, design team made some decisions which aimed to solve the explained and indicated problem. Finally, the team proposed the design.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem (1)</th>
<th>Pedestrian attracted to Charles island instead of the square</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Explanation</td>
<td>High integration value of the generated axial line inside and beside the island. The good visual location of the island as shown at the Isovist analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision</td>
<td>Efficiently Redesign the island to be as a link between the surrounding and the square. Besides, the island will be as central location attract people then transport to the square.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aim</td>
<td>Usage of the high accessibility and visual location of the island to be as the south entrance to the square.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Design: Design includes widening Charles island as the main and two small islands on its two sides.

Problem (2): Pedestrian pushed out the square and they prefer to walk around the square instead of inside the square.

Explanation: Low integration value of the axial line inside the square. Moreover, high integrated axial line around the square.

Decision: Reconnect the square with the surrounding to attract the pedestrian inside the square. Redesign a north entrance to the square.

Aim: Generate high integrated axial lines crossed the square, and connected with the surrounding.

Design: Close the north street for pedestrians, besides redesign the surrounding street for vehicle movement. Connect the north street with the square by a wide staircase.

8. RESULTS

The whole performance of Space Syntax in pedestrianization process can be summarized as shown in the next table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Phase Name</th>
<th>Included stages</th>
<th>Space Syntax Performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Identifying &amp; confirmation of problems.</td>
<td>Observation</td>
<td>Analysis 1: Explanation of former stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Proposing alternatives of solution.</td>
<td>Proposals</td>
<td>Feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Best alternative evaluation &amp; development</td>
<td>Analysis 2</td>
<td>Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Detailed design implementation</td>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>Feedback</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Space Syntax is an efficient tool in developing and designing urban places for Pedestrians. It helps in choosing Pedestrian Street, vehicle Street, and Shared Street. Space Syntax could be used to locate crosswalks, landscape desired paths, bicycles lanes, and public transportation network location.
- Space Syntax actually participated indirectly in making important decisions in phases 2 & 4.
- A new tools have to be added beside Space Syntax in the way of forming an integrated analytical approach in pedestrianization process. These tools will be related to unrecovered items in syntax analysis.
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